

CHINESE DETECTIVE WORK.

TOM SING IN A CELL AND CHINATOWN BREATHING MORE FREELY.

The Scar-faced Arctic Voyager Accused of Torturing and Robbing One Laundryman and Suspected of Murdering Another.

When Au-Yang-Ming, the Chinese Consul at New York, read in the newspapers on Saturday morning that Hong Chung, a laundryman at 503 Market street, Newark, had been strangled and gashed in his shop the evening before by three fellow countrymen, who had robbed him of over \$600 in money and some lumps of gold, he immediately sent Charles McDonald, the detective detailed at the consulate, with an interpreter, to Newark. They found Hong Chung in a hospital. He told them that three Chinamen, who were strangers to him, had entered his laundry at 8 P.M., finding him alone. Two were dressed like Chinese, and the third wore Chinese dress. They complained that they had come a long distance and were cold, and he offered to make them some tea. While he was preparing it they seized him. They bound him to an upright post in the room. They put a gag in his mouth and tortured him by slashing his leg with a knife until he told them that his money was in a box in the chimney. He had expected to return to China with the money in a few weeks. The leader of the robbers, Hong Chung said, was very tall, with a scar on his cheek, and wore a white overcoat and sealskin cap. The others he was unable to describe, but he was positive that he would know them if he should see them again.

From Hong Chung's description, it was guessed at the consulate that the principal robber was Tom Sing, a desperate Chinaman, who had many evil deeds attributed to him, but had never been accused of murder. McDonald, however, found Hong Chung and his laundryman, Au-Yang-Ming, and Dau-Long-Hai, with Beethoven, Handel, Schubert, Massen, and Schumann. Do these last not stand well—goode to men? In Aubier we have a thorough knowledge of French composition and bent of mind. He was gay, light, frivolous, of a sharp intelligence, and possessed of a good sense of humor. He compares with those of Germany? Measure often men as Herold, Bulleid, David, Hausey, or even Frankfort by adoption if not by birth—Tietz, Thomas, and Maxime, Berlin, with Beethoven, Handel, Schubert, Massen, and Schumann. Do these last not stand well—goode to men?

The description of "Masaniello" upon the stage of the Metropolitan has not been equalled by anything which has gone before it. The scene is taken in the Neapolitan, and the whole evening is taken up with the most gorgeous tableaux. At the end of the third act there is a startlingly realistic battle between the robbers in the wood and the soldiers, whom they rout. A brilliant finale follows, and at the back of the stage, while the chorus, standing in front, hides the work which is produced in the audience.

On Sunday night she got into his lodging by breaking down the door. As they broke in, the Singes were on the floor.

The police and the public had waited him on Sunday night, but he was not to be found.

On Sunday night the Consul of Hong Chung came as he was, brought over from Newark and confronted with Tom Sing. Sing is a tall, thin, and bony man, with a scared face, and said that Tom Sing was the chief of the bandits. Afterward Tom Sing disappeared, and was last seen in New York at the time the robbery was committed.

The impossibility of getting Chinamen to tell the police anything about Chinese criminality, and the difficulty of getting Chinamen to trace crimes committed by Chinamen, but when the Chinaman takes a Caucasian wife lets the enemy into this citadel of Mongolia.

Tom Sing's wife was arrested yesterday morning, and subjected to cross-examination by Inspector Lewiss, who said that she had given her husband money to do what he did on Friday. He returned late that night with his clothing on, and bearing other evidence of his innocence, and was released at first to the custody of his son to Newark. He finally told her that with some other man, he had had another Chinaman among them. Several Chinamen, one of whom was Tom Sing, were seen loitering around Hong Chung's laundry on Friday evening. The detectives searched Tom Sing's room, and found a coat and pea jacket which he was worn in Newark. In one of the pockets of the jacket was a return railway ticket from Newark dated Friday. The ticket was issued to a Chinaman, a marksmen, which were apparently bought for the purpose of binding Hong Chung, but a rope was substituted instead.

Congressman Teller said last evening through his interpreter: "We were very anxious that Tom Sing should be caught. It has been difficult to get him to talk, but he has had enough to say to us to give us the information we wanted." He returned late that night with his clothing on, and bearing other evidence of his innocence, and was released at first to the custody of his son to Newark. He finally told her that with some other man, he had had another Chinaman among them. Several Chinamen, one of whom was Tom Sing, were seen loitering around Hong Chung's laundry on Friday evening. The detectives searched Tom Sing's room, and found a coat and pea jacket which he was worn in Newark. In one of the pockets of the jacket was a return railway ticket from Newark dated Friday. The ticket was issued to a Chinaman, a marksmen, which were apparently bought for the purpose of binding Hong Chung, but a rope was substituted instead.

A determined and highly successful attempt to make an audience laugh through a whole evening, by means of new old, and in part patented farcical contrivances, occurred last night at the Fifth Avenue Theatre. The inventor of mechanical hilarity is Mr. Charles Barnard, and his device consists of a house which turns one of its sides after another toward the footlights. Mr. Barnard is understood to have been invented and aided by Mr. William A. Mostayor, the comedian, and their names made to stand jointly for the authorship of "We, U & Co.", the play which has been written up to the reviving architecture. This farce appears as a hotel at Hot Springs, and the character of the house is that of a house concerned in the sanitary interests of that resort. The house has been erected on a railroad station house, and the interior is built up for additional replacement to \$20,000, and allowed \$20,000 for the civil service probationary period. Mr. Mostayor, who is a member of the company, and his wife, Mrs. Mostayor, were married in sixteen days, and they could not be married, and she believed him. She went to the church, and he kept on calling, however, on one another, and I soon saw that he was greatly desirous of indifference over Frankie, because she was a girl, and it seems I was too late. Frankie was in fact upon the stage, and I then told him that she was a married woman, and must not call upon him as though she was single, that it would excite remark, and that he had better go to the church, and he did.

Mr. Mostayor's motion was adopted, so that the price of supplies was reduced to \$90,000 from \$107,500, which was the department estimate.

The appropriation for the Department was passed at \$419,750, and is \$40,000 more than the amount asked for this year. The Commissioners asked for this year.

The Board of Education asked for \$1,774,773, but the Board cut it down to \$1,709,773 parts of the amount.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Municipal Service Examining Board \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the amount of its appropriation for the public schools.

For the Board of Education the Board added \$2,000,000.

The Corporation Counsel sent an opinion that it is doubtful whether the Board of Education has the right to cut down the